Proposed Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region

to Incorporate the

Total Maximum Daily Load for Toxic Pollutants in Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters

Proposed for adoption by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on March 3, 2011

Amendments

Table of ContentsAdd:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) 7-40 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL

List of Figures, Tables, and Inserts

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Tables

- 7-40 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL
- 7-40.1 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL – Elements
- 7-40.2 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL – Implementation Schedule

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL

This TMDL was adopted by: The Regional Water Quality Control Board on [Insert Date].

This TMDL was approved by: The State Water Resources Control Board on [Insert date]. The Office of Administrative Law on [Insert date]. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Insert date]. This TMDL is effective on [Insert date].

The elements of the TMDL are presented in Table 7-40.1 and the Implementation Plan in Table 7-40.2.

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
Problem Statement	The waters of Dominguez Channel and the Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor area ¹ are impaired by heavy metals and organic pollutants. These water bodies are included on the State's Clean Water Act 303(d) impaired waters list for one or more of the following pollutants: cadmium, chromium, copper, mercury, lead, zinc, chlordane, dieldrin, toxaphene, DDT, PCBs, certain PAH compounds, benthic community effects and toxicity. These impairments exist in one or more environmental media—water, sediment, or tissue. Impairments in fish tissue are for DDT, PCBs, toxaphene, chlordane and dieldrin.
	Beneficial uses designated in these waters to protect aquatic life include the marine habitat use (MAR) and rare, threatened or endangered species habitat use (RARE). In addition, the estuaries (EST) are recognized as areas for spawning, reproduction and/or early development (SPWN), migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR), and wildlife habitat (WILD). Dominguez Channel also has an existing designated use of warm freshwater habitat (WARM) and the Los Angeles River Estuary has the designated use of wetland habitat (WET). Beneficial uses associated with human use of these waters include recreational use for water contact (REC1), non-contact water recreation (REC2), industrial service supply (IND), navigation (NAV), commercial and sport fishing (COMM), and shellfish harvesting (SHELL).
	Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters by removing contaminated sediment and controlling the sediment loading and accumulation of contaminated sediment in the Harbors.
Numeric Targets	Applicable water quality objectives for this TMDL are narrative objectives for Chemical Constituents, Bioaccumulation, Pesticides, and Toxicity in the Basin Plan and the numeric water quality criteria promulgated in 40 CFR section 131.38 (the California Toxics Rule (CTR)). In addition, sediment condition objectives were determined using the State Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries – Part 1 Sediment Quality (SQO Part 1) and the sediment quality guidelines. ²
	The following tables provide the water, sediment and fish tissue targets for the Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDLs.
	<u>Water Column Targets</u> Water targets were determined by this Basin Plan and the California Toxics Rule (CTR). Site- specific conversion factors were developed to convert CTR acute dissolved metal criteria to total recoverable metals using <i>The Metals Translator Guidance for Calculating a Total</i> <i>Recoverable Permit Limit From a Dissolved Criterion</i> EPA 823-B-96-007.
	Because exceedances of CTR criteria were only observed in freshwaters of the Dominguez

7-40.1 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL – Elements

¹ Dominguez Channel includes the Dominguez Channel Estuary and Torrance Lateral Channel and Greater Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbor waters include Inner and Outer Harbor, Main Channel, Consolidated Slip, Southwest Slip, Fish Harbor, Cabrillo Marina, Inner Cabrillo Beach, Los Angeles River Estuary, and San Pedro Bay.

~		Reg	gulatory P	rovisions	
Channel during	wet weath	er, targets a	are set for	wet weather of	only. Site-specific
			• •	d dissolved a	and total metals d
statistical metho	od outlined	in the Guida	ince.		
Dissolved N	Aetals and (Organic Con	npounds Ta	rgets	
Pollutant	Crite	Criteria for Protection of Human Health (µg/L) For consumption			
	Fre	shwater	5	Saltwater	of: Organisms only
	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	
Dissolved Meta					1
Copper	6.86*	4.87*	4.8	3.1	n/a
Lead	29.5*	1.15*	210	8.1	n/a
Zinc	64.03*	64.5*	90	81	n/a
Mercury	-	-	-	-	0.051
Organic Compo	ounds				
Chlordane	n/a	n/a	0.09	0.004	0.00059
4,4'-DDT	1.1	0.001	0.13	0.001	0.00059
Total PCBs	n/a	0.0002	n/a	0.03	0.00017
Benzo[a]pyrene	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.049
body. Values prese County Departmen - means that no crit n/a means that no T	nted correspor t of Public Wo eria were estal MDL targets verable Met	nd to median h orks data from blished for Cal	ardness from 2 Station ID S28 ifornia. in this catego	2002 to 2010 of 4 8 (n = 30). ry.	of total hardness (mg/L) 9 mg/L based upon Los
Total Recov Metal		Criteria	Factor*		ite Total rable Metals
	CTR (6.86		Factor* 0.743	Recove 9.2	
Metal	CTR (Factor*	Recover	

² Long, ER, LJ Field and DD MacDonald. 1998. Predicting Toxicity in Marine Sediments with Numerical Sediment Quality Guidelines, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. **17**:4, 714-727. MacDonald, DD, CG Ingersoll and TA Berger. 2000. Development and evaluation of consensus-based sediment quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. **39**:20-31.

TMDL Element		Regulatory Provisions	
	Targets based on new toxicity cr of this Basin Plan may substitute effect.		
	Sediment Targets		
	Sediment targets were determine and the sediment quality guideli State Listing Policy. The fresh based on the freshwater Threshol the National Oceanic and Atr Reference Tables (SQuiRTs). T (ERL) also from NOAA SQui sediment for the greater Los An are set as the sediment quality th This TMDL anticipates that revis development of site-specific sedi	nes of Long and Arch ET&C water sediment numeric tar d Effect Concentration (TEC nospheric Administration (I he marine sediment quality RTs were used to establish geles and Long Beach Harbo resholds for the calculation o sions to specific sediment qua	C, which are recommended by the rgets for Dominguez Channel a) sediment guidelines compiled to NOAA) in the Screening Quid- guidelines of Effect Range Lo the numeric targets for marin or waters. These TECs and ERI f loading capacity and allocation
	Sediment targets		
	Metals	Freshwater Sediment (TECs) (mg/kg)	Marine Sediment (ERLs) (mg/kg)
	Cadmium	n/a	1.2
	Copper	31.6	34
	Lead	35.8	46.7
	Mercury	n/a	0.15
	Zinc	121	150
	Chromium	n/a	81
	Organics	Marine S (ER (μg/	Ls)
		0	-
	Chlordane, total	0.	5
	Dieldrin	0.0)2
	Dieldrin Toxaphene	0.0)2 0*
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs	0.0 0.1 22	02 0* .7
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene	0.0 0.1 22 26)2 0* .7 1
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43)2 0* .7 1 0
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43 38	02 0* .7 1 0 4
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43 38 66	02 0* .7 1 0 4 5
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene 2-methylnaphthalene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43 38 66 20	02 0* .7 1 0 4 5 1
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43 38 66	02 0* .7 1 0 4 5 1 0
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene 2-methylnaphthalene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.0 0.1 22 26 43 38 66 20 26	02 0* .7 1 0 4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene 2-methylnaphthalene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene Phenanthrene	0.0 0.1 0.1 22 26 43 38 66 20 20 26 24	02 0* .7 1 0 4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Dieldrin Toxaphene Total PCBs Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene Chrysene Pyrene 2-methylnaphthalene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene Phenanthrene Hi MW PAHs	0.0 0.1 0.1 22 26 43 38 66 20 20 26 24 24	2 0* .7 1 0 4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 22

TMDL Element		Regulatory Pro	visions
	Unimpacted by the interpre considered as the protective effects. The thresholds establi	tation and integration narrative objective so shed in the SQO Part fore, this TMDL implie	QO Part 1 as Unimpacted and Likely of multiple lines of evidence shall be ediment toxicity and benthic community 1 are based on statistical significance and citly includes sediment toxicity and benthic
	Advisory Tissue Levels for Con Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCI assist agencies in developing and to protect humans from C	and PCBs were deterr mmon Contaminants in Bs, Selenium, and Tox fish tissue-based crite consumption of contam	mined from <i>Fish Contaminant Goals and</i> <i>a California Sport Fish: Chlordane, DDTs,</i> <i>caphene</i> , developed by OEHHA (2008) to ria for pollution mitigation or elimination ninated fish. Associated sediment targets rmined from several sources depending on
	Fish Tissue and Ass Pollutant	ociated Sediment Targe Fish Tissue Target	Associated Sediment Target
	Chlordane	(µg/kg wet) 5.6	(μg/kg dry) 1.3 ^b
	Dieldrin	0.46	
	Total DDT	21	1.9 ^b
	Total PCBs	3.6	3.6 °
	PAHs – total	5.47 ^a	n/a
	Toxaphene	6.1	0.1 ^d
Source Analysis	^d Toxaphene value from New York D n/a indicates that a target is not establ	l sediment values from SFEI get from Gobas and Arnot, 2 EP, 1999, assumes 1% TOC ished in this TMDL for this	2010 San Francisco Bay Bioaccumulation study
		ano-chlorine pesticide	s, PCBs, and PAHs loads to Dominguez
	ubiquitous in the environment waterborne, the chemicals are watersheds mobilize the partic discharge to the Dominguez Cl generated or deposited in the that discharge to the Domingue	, bound to fine-graine ferried to new locatio cles, which are then w hannel and greater Har watersheds and are the ez Channel and greater	
	TMDLs. Point sources includischarges, including but not	lude stormwater and limited to Port operat	burces to the waters of concern in these urban runoff (MS4) and other NPDES tions, Terminal Island Water Reclamation ts. Nonpoint sources include existing

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	contaminated sediments and direct (air) deposition.
	Dominguez Channel waters: The major point sources of organo-chlorine pesticides, PCBs, and metals into Dominguez Channel are stormwater and urban runoff discharges. Nonpoint sources include atmospheric deposition and fluxes from contaminated sediments into the overlying water.
	Current loads of metals into Dominguez Channel were estimated using Loading Simulation Program in C++ (LSPC) model output from simulated flows for 1995-2005. Monitoring data from NPDES discharges and land use runoff coefficients were analyzed along with Channel stream flow rates to estimate the magnitude of metal loadings. In recognition of the wide variety of stream flow rates generated by various rainfall conditions, flow duration curves were utilized to analyze the metals loading during wet weather.
	Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters: A variety of activities over the past decades in the four contributing watersheds (Dominguez Channel, Los Angeles River, San Gabriel River and the nearshore watershed) and in the Harbors themselves have contributed to the sediment contamination. The contaminated sediments are a reservoir of historically deposited pollutants. Stormwater runoff from manufacturing, military facilities, fish processing plants, wastewater treatment plants, oil production facilities, and shipbuilding or repair yards in both Ports discharged untreated or partially treated wastes into Harbor waters. Current activities also contribute pollutants to Harbor sediments. In particular, stormwater runoff from port facilities, commercial vessels (ocean going vessels and harbor craft), recreational vessels, and the re-suspension of contaminated sediments from propeller wash within the Ports also contributes to transport of pollutants within the Harbors. Loadings from the four contributing watersheds and intermittent flow from Machado Lake are also potential sources of metals, pesticides, PCBs, and PAHs to the Harbors.
	The major nonpoint source of pesticides and PCBs to the greater Harbor waters is the current sediments. The re-suspension of these sediments contributes to the fish tissue impairments. In addition, atmospheric deposition may be a potential nonpoint source of metals to the watershed, through either direct deposition or indirect deposition.
	Current loading of metals, PAHs, DDT and PCBs to contaminated sediments within the Dominguez Channel Estuary and Greater Harbor waters was estimated using monitoring data from special studies and water body surface area for air deposition; discharge results for refineries and TITP; and Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) model output for 2002-2005. Model inputs included the existing average sediment concentration in the top 5 cm of bed sediments and the total sediment deposition rate per waterbody.
Linkage Analysis	The linkage analysis connects pollutant loads to the numeric targets and protection of beneficial uses of Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters. To represent the linkage between source contributions and ambient water and sediment response, two dynamic water quality models were developed to simulate source loadings and transport of the listed pollutants in Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters. The Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) and Loading Simulation Program in C++ (LSPC) models were selected to simulate the pollutants in this TMDL.
	LSPC for freshwater loadings of metals and total PAHs, DDT, and PCBs. LSPC was

			0	atory Pro				
developed for watershed. I from earlier Event Mean Flow data re similar simu Dominguez LSPC outpu receiving wa	In addition TMDL m Concentra cords for lation time Channel f t for dry a	n, Los Ange odels. Mod ations (EM 1995-2005 e frames we reshwater n	eles River el develo C) as wel were used ere used t netals TM	and San G pment thro l as simulat d to calibra o generate IDLs exam	abriel R ughout th ted flows te LSPC simulate ined only	iver LSPC ne Los Ang to estimate models for d flows for y wet weatl	models v geles Reg e pollutat each wa each wa her flows	vere updat ion relies nt loading tershed; tershed. ;; however
The nearsho subwatershe waters. The contribution directly into	ds that dis se sub-wa s to Inner	scharge dire tersheds we Harbor con	ctly to the ctly t	e Greater L ggregated l	.os Ange by receiv	les and Loi ing waterb	ng Beach ody; e.g.	Harbor nearshore
The table be waters. Ove greater Harb eastern San 1	rall, the L or waters;	os Angeles flows from	River is the Los	the largest Angeles R	freshwat iver prim	er contribu arily impa	tor of pol ct water o	llutants to quality in
watershed.	Watersh	ed I oading	to Greate	er Harbor V	Vaters			
Comparative	e Watershe			er Harbor V xisting Loadi		tershed (199	5-2005)	
	Domingu	LSPC M LSPC M LSPC M	Iodeled Ex Los Ang	xisting Loadi geles River	ing by Wa San Ga	briel River	Nearshor	e Watershe
		LSPC N	Aodeled Ex	xisting Loadi geles River Average	ing by Wa San Ga Percent	briel River Average	li.	e Watershe Average Daily Load (kg/day)
Comparative	Domingu Percent of Total	LSPC M lez Channel Average Daily Load	Iodeled Ex Los Ang Percent of Total Loading	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load	ing by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading	briel River Average Daily Load	Nearshor Percent of Total	Average Daily Load
Comparative Contaminant Sediment	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6%	LSPC M lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05	Aodeled Ex Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06	ing by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading	Average Daily Load (kg/day) 6.54E+04
Comparative Contaminant	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3%	LSPC M lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01	Aodeled Ex Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02	ing by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading	Average Daily Load (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01	Aodeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02	ing by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2%	Average Daily Load (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02	Aodeled E3 Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2%	xisting Loadi geles River Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03	Image Was San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02	Modeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01	Imp by Wa San Ga Percent of of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 1.15E-03	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00	Aodeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01	Image By Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 1.15E-03 2.95E+00	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02	Modeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01	Imp by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 0.1%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 1.15E-03	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7%	Average Daily Load (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02	Aodeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions	Imp by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 3	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 1.15E-03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB Sediment	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3% 0.7%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02 8.57E+01	Aodeled E3 Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr 19.0%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions 2.27E+03	Image By Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 80.1%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04 1.01E+04	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2%	Average Daily Load (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.54E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04 1.54E+01
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB Sediment Copper	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3% 0.7% 2.6%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02 8.57E+01 2.56E-01	Andeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr 19.0% 48.7%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions 2.27E+03 4.69E+00	Imp by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 80.1% 40.8% 40.8%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04 3.11E-04 1.01E+04 4.18E+00	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.53E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04 1.54E+01 7.78E-01
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB Sediment	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3% 0.7% 2.6% 0.9%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02 8.57E+01 2.56E-01 3.48E-02	Aodeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr 19.0% 48.7% 19.8%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions 2.27E+03 4.69E+00 7.86E-01	Image By Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 80.1% 40.8% 72.9% 12.9%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04 4.18E+00 3.07E+00	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% 6.5%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04 1.54E+01 7.78E-01 2.59E-01
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB Sediment Copper Lead	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3% 0.7% 2.6% 0.9%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02 8.57E+01 2.56E-01 3.48E-02 5.65E-01	Andeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr 19.0% 48.7% 19.8% 30.4%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions 2.27E+03 4.69E+00 7.86E-01 1.90E+01	Imp by Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 23.3% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 80.1% 40.8% 72.9% 62.6% 2.6%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04 1.01E+04 4.18E+00 3.07E+00 4.15E+01	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% 6.5% 6.2%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04 1.54E+01 7.78E-01 2.59E-01 3.89E+00
Comparative Contaminant Sediment Copper Lead Zinc DDT PAH PCB Sediment Copper Lead Zinc	Domingu Percent of Total Loading 5.6% 4.3% 3.0% 5.0% 9.2% 8.0% 2.3% 0.7% 2.6% 0.9%	LSPC N lez Channel Average Daily Load (kg/day) 1.88E+05 3.58E+01 2.08E+01 3.56E+02 2.20E-02 2.04E+00 1.38E-02 8.57E+01 2.56E-01 3.48E-02	Aodeled E: Los Ang Percent of Total Loading We 72.0% 81.1% 71.5% 72.2% 89.5% 70.2% 97.5% Dr 19.0% 48.7% 19.8%	xisting Loadi geles River Average Daily Load (kg/day) et Conditions 2.79E+06 7.85E+02 5.67E+02 5.67E+02 5.89E+03 2.46E-01 2.07E+01 6.86E-01 y Conditions 2.27E+03 4.69E+00 7.86E-01	Image By Wa San Ga Percent of Total Loading 20.4% 12.5% 20.2% 0.7% 16.1% 0.1% 80.1% 40.8% 72.9% 12.9%	briel River Average Daily Load (kg/day) 4.90E+05 7.51E+01 1.15E+02 1.02E+03 2.95E+00 3.11E-04 4.18E+00 3.07E+00	Nearshor Percent of Total Loading 1.9% 2.1% 2.2% 2.6% 0.7% 5.8% 0.2% 0.1% 8.0% 6.5%	Average Daily Loa (kg/day) 6.54E+04 1.78E+01 1.53E+01 1.84E+02 1.59E-03 1.50E+00 9.92E-04 1.54E+01 7.78E-01 2.59E-01

TMDL Element		Regulat	tory Provisio	ns	
	Angeles and Long Beach Hat 2002-2005. The model was Los Angeles and Long Beac sediment, porewater and over monitoring devices for detec model also considered ocea sediment transport and depo output – hourly for three wat PCBs, and DDT (total) sedi (clean) sediment deposition r corresponding existing sedim pollutant load within each wat	calibrated wit ch Harbor's 20 clying water co cting DDT, PO an water (out osition. Ultima ersheds, daily iment concent ate for the top nent pollutant 1 terbody.	h numerous se 006 sediment concentrations as CBs, and PAH side breakwat the the EFD for nearshore rations in the 5 cm (active so level or the TM	diment monito characterization s well as result (s in the water er) conditions C model was watersheds – to receiving wat sediment layer) MDL sediment	oring studies, including n study, which yielded ts from highly sensitive r column. The EFDC and fine and coarse integrated with LSPC o model metals, PAHs, ers. The annual total) was multiplied by the
	Waterbody Name	TMDL Zone	Area (acres) ¹	Area (m ²) ¹	Total Deposition (kg/yr) ²
	Dominguez Channel Estuary	01	140	567,900	2,470,201
	Consolidated Slip	02	36	147,103	355,560
	Inner Harbor - POLA	03	1,539	6,228,431	1,580,809
	Inner Harbor - POLB	08	1,464	5,926,130	674,604
	Fish Harbor	04	91	368,524	30,593
	Cabrillo Marina	05	77	310,259	38,859
	Cabrillo Beach	06	82	331,799	27,089
	Outer Harbor - POLA	07	1,454	5,885,626	572,349
	Outer Harbor - POLB	09	2,588	10,472,741	1,828,407
	Los Angeles River Estuary	10	207	837,873	21,610,283
	San Pedro Bay	11	8,173	33,073,517	19,056,271
	¹ Area obtained from GIS layer of th http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/wate ² Sediment deposition rates were cal particles) deposited in each waterboo which is dependent on watershed in EFDC model output. These values deposition of both sediment fines an fines and sand and this value is the w The EFDC model was used to from various inputs to suppor Greater Los Angeles and Lo	er issues/program culated by approx dy annually based puts as well as tid were summarized d sand by waterbo waterbody-specific o evaluate seve rt water quality	s/tmdl/303d_lists/ cimating the average on 2002-2005 EF al movements betw across each TMD ody. The total dep c average annual (eral management	ge mass of total se FDC output. Sedin ween waterbodies, L waterbody, resu position rate is sim clean) sediment de nt scenarios an decisions in D	nent flux for each grid cell, was obtained from the liting in the average uply the sum of the rates for eposition rate. d relative contributions ominguez Channel and
Loading Capacity	indicate that reducing fresh concentrations in water and sediments may be required. Loading capacity was calcula Dominguez Channel Estuary	hwater input sediments; the ted for both Do	loads may n us decreasing ominguez Char	ot be sufficient contaminated	ent to achieve targe pollutant levels in bec her) and in the

Regulatory Provisions
Dominguez Channel wet weather metals TMDLs:During wet weather, the loading capacity is a function of the volume of water in the Channel.Given the variability in wet-weather flows, the concept of a single critical flow was notjustified. Instead, a load duration curve approach was used to establish the wet-weather loadingcapacity. The load duration curve was developed by multiplying the wet-weather flows by thein-stream numeric targets. The resulting curves identify the allowable load for a given flow.The wet-weather TMDLs for copper and zinc are defined by these load duration curves.Loading capacities were calculated by multiplying the daily storm volume by the appropriatenumeric water quality target or, in the case of lead, the observed existing average concentration.The wet-weather loading capacity applies to any day when the maximum daily flow measuredat a location within the Dominguez Channel is equal to or greater than 62.7 cfs, which is the 90 th percentile flow rate from estimated/modeled flow rates.The freshwater toxicity TMDL is equal to 1 TUc.Dominguez Channel Estuary and Greater Harbor waters, metals and organics in sedimentTMDLs:Loading capacities for Dominguez Channel Estuary and Greater Harbor waters were calculatedby estimating the sediment load (based on modeled sediment deposition rates) multiplied by thesediment quality target. The active sediment layer was defined as the top 5 cm of sediment; thehabitat of approximately 95% of benthic organisms.In addition, chlordane, dieldrin, toxaphene and mercury TMDLs were defined for specificwaterbodies as equivalent to the concentration-based sediment quality target. </th
 Final waste load allocations (WLA) are assigned to stormwater dischargers (MS4, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), general construction and general industrial dischargers), and other NDPES dischargers. Final load allocations (LAs) are assigned to direct atmospheric deposition and bed sediments in both wet and dry weather. Dominguez Channel freshwater allocations are set for wet weather only because exceedances have only been observed in wet weather. Mass-based allocations have been set where sufficient data was available to calculate mass-based allocations, otherwise, concentration-based allocations have been set. Interim WLA and LA are established where sufficient flow data exists to set appropriate interim allocations and are intended to not allow any decrease in current facility performance. Interim allocations shall be met upon the effective date of the TMDL. Interim and final WLAs and LAs shall be included in permits and/or other Board orders in accordance with state and federal regulations and guidance. INTERIM ALLOCATIONS 1. Dominguez Channel Freshwater Interim Allocations A. Freshwater Toxicity Interim Allocation wet weather An interim allocation of 2 TUc applies to each source, including all point sources assigned a WLA and all nonpoint sources assigned a LA. The freshwater toxicity interim allocation is set

TMDL Element		Regula	tory Pro	visions			
	Angeles County Department of TUc.	f Public Wo	rks, which	have show	n average v	values of lea	ss than 2
	B. <u>Freshwater Me</u> Interim water allocations are I January 2006 to January 2010. consistent with NPDES permit therefore, the interim allocatio allocation, permitted discharge do not exceed levels that can be existing at the time of permit is	based on the The use of 9 ting methode on for lead ars shall ensue e attained by	e 95 th pere 95 th percer ology. Le is set equ ure that et y performa	centile of to tile values to ad is current al to the fi fluent conce unce of the fa	tal metals o develop i tly meeting nal WLA. entrations a acility's tre	nterim alloc the final al Regardles and mass di	cations is location, as of the scharges
	Concentration-based Domingue	ez Channel f	freshwater	interim met	al allocatio	ns	
		Copper	r	Lead	Zinc		
	allocation (µg/L)	93.1		35.8	382.5		
	2. Dominguez Channel Esta Waters:	uary and (Greater]	Los Angele	s and Lo	ng Beach	Harbor
	NDDEC	au For we	at a what a shi a a	whore the	05 ¹⁰	tila valua 1	1
	NPDES permitting methodolo equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment techno modification.	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exis	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th	interim allo ed discharge vels that can	ers shall e be attained	et equal to ensure that d by perform	the final effluent nance of
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technol	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exis	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p	ocation is s ers shall e be attained permit issu	et equal to ensure that d by perforn ance, reisso	the final effluent nance of
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment techno modification.	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (ma	becation is s ers shall e be attained bermit issu g/kg sedime	et equal to ensure that d by perforn ance, reisso	the final effluent mance of uance or
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment techno modification. Sediment, interim concentration	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exis	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p	ocation is s ers shall e be attained permit issu	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent)	the final effluent nance of
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment techno modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6	be attained be attained be mit issu g/kg sedime DDT	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment techno modification. Sediment, interim concentration <u>Waterbody</u> Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Los Angeles Inner Harbor	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0	cation is s ers shall e be attained bermit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3 154.1	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations <u>Lead</u> 510.0 50.4 145.5	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater)	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3	t, then the h, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations <u>Lead</u> 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater)	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha- the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations <u>Lead</u> 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc Copper 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5	g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor -	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc <u>Copper</u> 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0 76.9 367.6	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7 46.7 66.6 72.6	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 150 183.5 263.1 281.8	potention is s persion is s pers shall e be attained permit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254 0.057 0.186	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36 4.022 36.12	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683 0.193 0.199
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Consolidated Slip	meric target e allocation arges do not blogies exist n-based alloc 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0 76.9	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations <u>Lead</u> 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7 66.6	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5 263.1	potention is s pers shall e a be attained bermit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254 0.057	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683 0.193
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Los Angeles Inner Harbor Los Angeles Inner Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Inner	meric target e allocation rges do not ologies exist n-based alloc <u>Copper</u> 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0 76.9 367.6 1470.0	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7 46.7 46.7 66.6 72.6 1100.0	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5 263.1 281.8 1705.0	potention is sers shall end a be attained be attained permit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254 0.057 0.186 1.724	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson int) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36 4.022 36.12 386.00	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683 0.193 0.199 1.920
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha- the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Inner Harbor Long Beach Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Inner Cabrillo Beach Area	meric target e allocation rges do not ologies exist n-based alloc <u>Copper</u> 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0 76.9 367.6 1470.0 129.7	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7 46.7 46.7 66.6 72.6 1100.0 46.7	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m) Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5 263.1 281.8 1705.0 163.1	potention is series shall end a be attained be attained permit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254 0.057 0.186 1.724 0.145	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson ent) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36 4.022 36.12 386.00 4.022	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683 0.193 0.199 1.920 0.033
	equal to, or lower than, the nu allocation. Regardless of th concentrations and mass discha the facility's treatment technor modification. Sediment, interim concentration Waterbody Dominguez Channel Estuary Long Beach Inner Harbor Los Angeles Inner Harbor Los Angeles Inner Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles Outer Harbor (inside breakwater) Los Angeles River Estuary San Pedro Bay Near/Off Shore Zones Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Cabrillo Marina Los Angeles Harbor - Inner	meric target e allocation rges do not ologies exist n-based alloc <u>Copper</u> 220.0 142.3 154.1 67.3 104.1 53.0 76.9 367.6 1470.0	t, then the n, permitte exceed le ting at th cations Lead 510.0 50.4 145.5 46.7 46.7 46.7 46.7 66.6 72.6 1100.0	interim allo ed discharge vels that can e time of p Pollutant (m Zinc 789.0 240.6 362.0 150 150 183.5 263.1 281.8 1705.0	potention is sers shall end a be attained be attained permit issu g/kg sedime DDT 1.727 0.070 0.341 0.075 0.097 0.254 0.057 0.186 1.724	et equal to ensure that d by performance, reisson int) PAH 31.60 4.58 90.30 4.022 4.022 4.36 4.022 36.12 386.00	the final effluent mance of uance or PCB 1.490 0.060 2.107 0.248 0.310 0.683 0.193 0.199 1.920

	Regulatory Prov	visions	
FINAL ALLOCATIONS			
1. Dominguez Channel Freshw	ator Allocations		
A. Freshwater Toxicity A			
A final allocation of 1 TUc, or its		n any Statewide To	oxicity Policy, applies
each source, including all point	sources assigned a V	WLA and all nonp	oint sources assigne
LA.			
B. Freshwater Metals All	ocations in wet wea	ther	
Wet-weather allocations are ass			upstream reaches
tributaries of Dominguez Channe			
Alle setions are setioned to 1. d.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A) A
Allocations are assigned to both has been developed for direct a			
(WLA) is divided between the			
permit by subtracting the other s			
margin of safety from the total le	oading capacity. Co	oncentration-based	WLAs are assigned
the other point sources.			
Mass-based Dominguez C	'hannel Wet-weather	Final Allocations	
	Copper (g/day)	Lead (g/day)	Zinc (g/day)
TMDL	1,416.6	6,027.6	10,366.2
Waste Load Allocations:			
MS4 – LA County	1,240.2	5,277.4	9,076.0
MS4 - Caltrans	30.8	131.2	225.7
WISH - Califalis			
Load Allocations:			
Load Allocations: Air Deposition	3.8	16.3	28.0
Load Allocations: Air Deposition Margin of Safety			
Load Allocations: Air Deposition Margin of Safety MOS (10%)	141.7	602.8	28.0
Load Allocations: Air Deposition Margin of Safety	141.7	602.8	
Load Allocations: Air Deposition Margin of Safety MOS (10%)	141.7 .7 cfs) in Dominguez (602.8 Channel.	1036.6
Load Allocations: Air Deposition Margin of Safety MOS (10%) Based on 90% flow rate (62	141.7 .7 cfs) in Dominguez (ez Channel Wet-wea Copper	602.8 Channel.	1036.6

FMDL Element				Regulat	ory Prov	isions			
	Torrance	Lateral Wa	ste Load	and Load	Allocatio	ns, concentr	ation-base	d	
		Media			Copper		ead	Zinc	
	Wate	er (unfiltere	d) (ug/L)		9.2		9.3	67.6	
		nent (mg/kg	, , ,		31.6		5.8	121	
		ess = 49 mg/		1	• •				
		-		ExxonM	obil Torr	ance Refin	ery into	Torrance	Lateral,
	mass-bas	sed							_
		Media			Copper	Le	ad	Zinc	
	Water	(unfiltered)	(kg/yr)		0.9	3.8	3	6.6	
	and	Inner Har bounds in v at sources s cluding pow hannel Estu nor NPDES ion-based v tals and eq	bor (incl vater. such as G wer gener ary and permits vaste loa- ual to the	uding re eneral Co rating sta Harbor W or enrolle d allocati e human	fineries) f onstruction tions, min vaters are ces under a ons. The health tar	or permits a assigned co a general NP allocations gets for the	PAHs, and adustrial, in and irregul ncentration DES perm are set equ organic co	d bioaccur ndividual in lar discharg n-based allo nit are also ual to the so ompounds	nulative ndustrial gers into ocations. assigned saltwater in CTR.
	in the regulati published by the Receivin	he establish	ing agend	cy.	-	ive or relev -Based Was	Ē		guidance
	Constituents	Copper*	Lead*	Zinc*	Total	Duseu wu	4,4'-		Total
	Constituents	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	PAHs	Chlordan	DDT	Dieldrin	PCBs
		(µg/L)	(µg/1)	(µg/12)	$(\mu g/L)$	e (µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
	Dominguez				(µg/1)	c (μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
	Channel	3.73	8.52	85.6	0.049**	0.00059	0.00059	0.00014	0.00017
	Estuary	5.15	5.52	55.0	0.017	0.000000	0.000000	0.00017	0.00017
	Inner					+			+ +
	Harbor	3.73	8.52	85.6	1	1	0.00059		0.00017
		4				144 1'			
	 * Total Concentra saltwater default saltwater default ** CTR human he PAHs of 0.049 and 2-methylna B. <u>Mass</u> Mass-based W (based on curr data. Municip 	lt translators. ealth criteria v μg/L is applie phthalene. Ot s-based allo VLAs are a ent discharg pal stormw	LAs for me vere not est d to the sur her PAHs c ocations 1 ussigned ge volum ater sour	tals are con ablished for n of benzo ompounds for metal to the Te e) and oth ces, inclu	total PAHs. (a)anthracend in the CTR s s and PAI erminal Isl her point s uding the	Therefore, the e, benzo(a)pyre hall be screene Hs compour land Water ources that I Los Angele	l lved CTR cri ene, chrysene d as part of th nds Reclamati nave suffic s, Long B	criteria for in c, phenanthrem he TMDL mo on Plant (ient discha each, Caltu	TR dividual ne, pyrene, nitoring. TIWRP) rge flow rans and
	 * Total Concentra saltwater defaul ** CTR human he PAHs of 0.049 and 2-methylna B. <u>Mass</u> Mass-based W (based on curr data. Municij other MS4 co- 	It translators. ealth criteria v μg/L is applie phthalene. Ot s-based allo VLAs are a ent discharg pal stormw -permittees,	LAs for me vere not est d to the sur her PAHs c cations f issigned ge volum ater sour are assig	tals are con ablished for n of benzo ompounds for metal to the Te e) and oth ces, inclu gned a m	total PAHs. (a)anthracend in the CTR s s and PAI erminal Isl ner point s iding the ass-based	Therefore, the e, benzo(a)pyre hall be screene Hs compour land Water ources that l Los Angele allocation fo	lved CTR cri lowest CTR ene, chrysene d as part of th nds Reclamati nave suffic s, Long B pr each per	criteria for in c, phenanthren he TMDL mo on Plant (ient discha each, Caltu rmit in place	TR dividual ne, pyrene, nitoring. TIWRP) rge flow rans and ce at the
	* Total Concentra saltwater defau ** CTR human he PAHs of 0.049 and 2-methylna B. <u>Mass</u> Mass-based W (based on curr data. Municij other MS4 co- time of TMD	It translators. ealth criteria v μg/L is applie phthalene. Ot s-based allo VLAs are a ent discharg pal stormw -permittees, L adoption	LAs for me vere not est d to the sur her PAHs c <u>ocations f</u> ussigned ge volum ater sour , are assig	tals are con ablished for n of benzo ompounds for metal to the Te e) and oth ces, inclu gned a m ling on t	total PAHs. (a)anthracentin the CTR s and PAI erminal Islater point s adding the ass-based he waterb	Therefore, the e, benzo(a)pyre hall be screene Hs compour land Water ources that l Los Angele allocation fo ody. Disch	lved CTR cri lowest CTR ene, chrysene d as part of th nds Reclamati nave suffic s, Long B or each per narges from	criteria for in c, phenanthren he TMDL mo on Plant (ient discha each, Calta rmit in plac m the Port	TR dividual he, pyrene, nitoring. TIWRP) rge flow rans and ce at the c of Los
	 * Total Concentra saltwater defaul ** CTR human he PAHs of 0.049 and 2-methylna B. <u>Mass</u> Mass-based W (based on curr data. Municij other MS4 co- 	It translators. ealth criteria v µg/L is applie phthalene. Ot s-based all VLAs are a ent discharg pal stormw -permittees, L adoption A) and Port	LAs for me vere not est d to the sur her PAHs c ocations f ussigned ge volum ater sour , are assig , depend t of Long	tals are con ablished for n of benzo ompounds for metal to the Te e) and oth ces, inclu gned a m ling on t Beach (P	total PAHs. (a)anthracent in the CTR s s and PAI erminal Ist her point s uding the ass-based he waterb OLB) are	Therefore, the e, benzo(a)pyre hall be screene Hs compour land Water ources that I Los Angele allocation fo ody. Disch grouped wit	lved CTR cri lowest CTR ene, chrysene d as part of th nds Reclamati nave suffic s, Long B pr each per narges from h the MS4	criteria for in c, phenanthren he TMDL mo on Plant (ient discha each, Caltu rmit in plac m the Port discharger	ITR dividual he, pyrene, nitoring. TIWRP) rge flow rans and ce at the c of Los rs. Mass-

DL Element		Regulatory	y Provisions		
	existing sediment concentrations is bed sediment concentrations.	in the active s	sediment laye	r defined her	ein as the top 5 cm
	Load Allocations are assigned to assigned to point sources and non- remaining allocatable amount is as have been set equal to existing monitoring results collected in 20 using the SCAQMD air quality waterbody to produce direct air d quality criteria, other regulation s quality may allow for re-calcular TMDL. If, at some point in the WDR regulations, then the corres a waste load allocation for purpor other Board order.	point sources ssigned to the load estimate 006. The Pb Pb criteria (eposition allo such as brake tions of air of future, a non ponding load	are subtracte bed sediments for Cu, Zn air deposition (2010) multipotentions. Futu- pad requirer deposition all- point source in allocation est	d from the load ts. Direct air and PAHs In allocation has blied by the ure changes to nents, or othe ocations in fil is considered tablished here	ading capacity and the deposition allocation based on atmosphere as been developed be surface area of eace of Cu, Zn and PAH are er improvement in a uture revisions to the subject to NPDES ein may be considered
	The bed sediment LA is assigned Angeles), the City of Long Beac Commission. After remediation complete and when LAs are att	ch (including activities that	the Port of t address ex	Long Beach) isting sedime	and the State Landernt contamination a
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities.	rom the sur ong with oth	rrounding wa er available	atersheds, th information,	e WLA compliand to assess the relativ
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de	rrounding wa er available termine their	atersheds, th information, responsibilit	e WLA compliand to assess the relativy and allocations for vear)
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities.	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de	rrounding wa er available termine their or metals and Pb	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn	e WLA compliand to assess the relativ y and allocations for
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and	rom the sum ong with oth rgers and de	rrounding wa er available termine their or metals and	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y	e WLA compliand to assess the relativy and allocations for vear)
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL	rom the sum ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for Cu	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for vear) PAHs total 9.94
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al.	rom the sum ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for 84 WL 22.4	rrounding water available termine their or metals and pb 115.4 As 54.2	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for vear) PAHs total 9.94 0.134
	 continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source <u>DomCh Estuary - TMDL</u> <u>MS4- LA County et al.</u> <u>MS4- City of Long Beach</u> 	Allocations for the sum ong with oth oth oth oth other series and de the series of the	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for vear) PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al.	Allocations for <i>Cu</i> 84 222.4 0.6 0.384	rrounding water available termine their or metals and their	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for vear) PAHs total 9.94 0.134
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations fo 84 WL 22.4 0.6 0.384 LA	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition	rom the surrong with oth ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for Cu 84 VLL 22.4 0.6 0.384 LA 4.6	rrounding water available termine their or metals and pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 s 0.031	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments	rom the sum ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for Cu 84 UL 22.4 0.6 0.384 LA 4.6 56.0	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 S 0.031 58.7	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0023
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations fo <i>Cu</i> 84 <i>WL</i> 22.4 0.6 0.384 <i>LA</i> 4.6 56.0 327.6	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 (s) 0.031 58.7 457.9	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments	rom the sum ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for Cu 84 UL 22.4 0.6 0.384 LA 4.6 56.0	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 S 0.031 58.7	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0023
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations fo <i>Cu</i> 84 <i>WL</i> 22.4 0.6 0.384 <i>LA</i> 4.6 56.0 327.6	rrounding water available termine their or metals and Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 (s) 0.031 58.7 457.9	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0	e WLA compliant to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load Overall reduction	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations for Cu 84 UL 22.4 0.6 0.384 LA 4.6 56.0 327.6 74%	rrounding water available termine their Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 .s 0.031 58.7 457.9 75% 16.6	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0 79%	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1 65%
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load Overall reduction	Torm the surror the surror the surror ong with other series and description of the surror of the	rrounding water available termine their Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 .s 0.031 58.7 457.9 75% 16.6	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0 79%	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1 65%
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load Overall reduction <u>Consolidated Slip - TMDL</u>	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations fo 84 22.4 0.6 0.384 <i>LA</i> 4.6 56.0 327.6 74% 12.1 <i>WL</i>	rrounding water available termine their Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 .s 0.031 58.7 457.9 75% 16.6 As	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0 79% 53.3	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1 65% 1.43
	continued polluted discharge f monitoring data will be used, al contribution of watershed discha secondary remediation activities. Final, mass-based TMDLs and A Waterbody/source DomCh Estuary - TMDL MS4- LA County et al. MS4- City of Long Beach MS4- CalTrans Air deposition Bed sediments Current Load Overall reduction Consolidated Slip - TMDL MS4- LA County et al.	rom the sur ong with oth rgers and de Allocations fo 84 22.4 0.6 0.384 <i>ULL</i> 22.4 0.6 0.384 <i>LA</i> 4.6 56.0 327.6 74% 12.1 <i>WLL</i> 2.73	rrounding water available termine their Pb 115.4 As 54.2 1.52 0.93 .s 0.031 58.7 457.9 75% 16.6 As 3.63 0.058	atersheds, th information, responsibilit PAHs (Kg/y Zn 370.5 271.8 7.6 4.7 33.2 53.3 1799.0 79% 53.3 28.7	e WLA compliand to assess the relative y and allocations for PAHs total 9.94 0.134 0.0038 0.0023 0.0023 0.0051 9.7 28.1 65% 1.43 0.0058

FMDL Element		Regulatory	Provisions	5						
	Bed sediments	8.13	12.9	15.57	1.41					
	Current Load	92.1	127.3	398.9	11.5					
	Overall reduction	87%	87%	87%	88%					
	Inner Harbor - TMDL	76.7	105.3	338.3	9.1					
	WLAs									
	MS4- LA County et al.	1.7	34.0	115.9	0.088					
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.463	9.31	31.71	0.024					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.032	0.641	2.18	0.0017					
	LAs									
	Air deposition	97.6	0.67	710	1.08					
	Bed sediments	(23.1)	60.7	(521.3)	7.88					
	Current Load	178.4	105.9	542.1	3.524					
	Overall reduction	57%	1%	38%	0%					
	Outer Harbor - TMDL	81.6	112.1	360.1	9.7					
		WL	<u>4</u> <i>s</i>	•	•					
	MS4- LA County et al.	0.91	26.1	81.5	0.105					
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.63	18.1	56.4	0.073					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.0018	0.052	0.162	0.00021					
	TIWRP = POTW	80.4	183.6	1845	1.056					
	(CTR & MGD)	00.4	165.0	1045	1.050					
	LAs									
	Air deposition	17.9	0.9	108.1	1.5					
	Bed sediments	(18.2)	(116)	(1731)	6.964					
	Current Load	119.0	66.7	403.4	0.626					
	Overall reduction	31%	0%	11%	0%					
	Fish Harbor - TMDL	1.04	1.43	4.59	0.123					
		WL	As							
	MS4- LA County et al. (POLA)	0.00017	0.54	1.62	0.007					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.0000005	0.00175	0.0053	0.000021					
		LA	S							
	Air deposition	0.4	0.02	2.4	0.033					
	Bed sediments	0.636	0.87	0.5	0.084					
	Current Load	1.43	0.60	4.2	0.003					
	Overall reduction	27%	0%	0%	0%					
	Cabrillo Marina -TMDL	1.32	1.81	5.8	0.156					
		WL	4 <i>s</i>		•					
	MS4- LA County et al. (POLA)	0.0196	0.289	0.74	0.00016					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.00019	0.0028	0.007	0.0000016					

ment	Regulatory Provisions						
	LAs						
Ai	ir deposition	0.34	0.017	2.05	0.028		
Be	ed sediments	1.0	1.506	3.03	0.1285		
Cur	rrent Load	9.2	2.3	9.14	0.236		
Ove	erall reduction	86%	21%	36%	34%		
Sa	n Pedro Bay - TMI	DL 648	890	2858	76.6		
	WLAs						
M	S4- LA County et al.	20.3	54.7	213.1	1.76		
M	S4 City of Long Beach	h 137.9	372.2	1449.7	12.0		
M	S4 CalTrans	0.88	2.39	9.29	0.077		
M	S4 Orange County**	9.8	26.4	102.9	0.85		
	0 2		S				
Ai	ir deposition	36	1.8	219	2.9		
	ed sediments	442.9	432	865	59.0		
Cui	rrent Load	1251	1737	8167	3.63		
	erall reduction	48%	49%	65%	0%		
LA	River Estuary - T	MDL 735	1009	3242	86.9		
	110/01/2000001 / 17	WL					
L	AR dischargers*	[Cu SQV]	[Pb SQV]	[Zn SQV]	[PAH SQV]		
	S4- LA County et al.	35.3	65.7	242.0	2.31		
	S4 City of Long Beach	h 375.8	698.9	2572.7	24.56		
	S4 CalTrans	5.1	9.5	34.8	0.333		
		LA					
Ai	ir deposition	6.7	0.046	48.9	0.075		
	ed sediments	311.8	235.0	343.0	59.6		
	rrent Load	1612	2641	20096	8.72		
	erall reduction	54%	62%	84%	0%		
*SQV **Orar Beach Conso sedim (0.15	values are currently set at nge County MS4 is issued nearshore area, are for TM olidated Slip and Fish nent concentration (1. mg/kg dry). Consoli	by the Santa Ana Regiona MDL calculation purposes, Harbor are impaired 1 mg/kg dry) is signif idated Slip is also imp	al Board. The all only and an allo for mercury i ficantly higher paired for cadr	cation is not assign sediments an than the targen nium and chro	gned. nd the average et concentration omium in sedim		
Harb	or	ed Sediment WLAs			Sup and Fish		
	Concentration-base	ed Sediment WLAs	(mg/kg dry s	eaiment)			
	Cadmium	Chromium	٦./	ercury			

T E N T A

T I V

E

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	 Compliance with these sediment TMDLs for Cu, Pb, Zn, and total PAHs may be demonstrated via two different means: a. Final sediment allocations, as presented above, are met. b. The qualitative sediment condition of Unimpacted or Likely Unimpacted via the interpretation and integration of multiple lines of evidence as defined in the SQO Part 1, is met.
	Compliance with mass-based WLAs shall be measured at designated discharge points. Compliance with concentration-based WLAs for existing sediment shall be determined by pollutant concentrations in ambient sediment in each waterbody. The average ambient bulk sediment level within a waterbody at or below the sediment quality target is considered compliance with these TMDLs.
	C. <u>Mass-based Allocations for Bioaccumulative Compounds</u> Fish tissue levels of certain bioaccumulative compounds are above desired numeric targets. These TMDLs are designed to reduce contaminated sediment levels, which will result in lower corresponding pollutant levels in fish tissue. These sediment allocations have been derived to support lowering fish tissue levels using biota-sediment accumulation factors.
	Mass-based WLAs are assigned for TITP and other point sources that have sufficient discharge flow data. Municipal stormwater sources, including the Los Angeles, Long Beach, Caltrans and other MS4 co-permittees, are assigned a single, mass-based allocation by permit, depending on the waterbody. Discharges from the Port of Los Angeles (POLA) and Port of Long Beach (POLB) are grouped with the MS4 dischargers. Mass-based WLAs are applied as annual limits.
	Mass-based LAs are identified for bed sediments and direct air deposition. Direct air deposition allocations for total DDT are based on estimates of existing loads using atmospheric monitoring results collected close to Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbor at SCAQMD Wilmington Station in 2006. Pollutant-specific air deposition values (DDT = 29 ng/m2/day) were multiplied by the surface area of each waterbody to produce direct deposition allocations. Direct deposition allocations for PCBs are not included since air deposition has been measured to be less than water-to-air fluxes.
	The bed sediment LA is assigned to the City of Los Angeles (including the Port of Los Angeles), the City of Long Beach (including the Port of Long Beach) and the State Lands Commission. After remediation activities that address existing sediment contamination are complete and when LAs are attained, if bed sediments are recontaminated as a result of continued polluted discharge from the surrounding watersheds, the WLA compliance monitoring data will be used, along with other available information, to assess the relative contribution of watershed dischargers and determine their responsibility and allocations for secondary remediation activities.
	DDT and PCBs (total) TMDLs apply to all estuarine and marine waters in Greater Harbor area, including Inner Cabrillo Beach, Los Angeles River Estuary and Eastern San Pedro Bay.

FMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions					
	Final mass-based TMDLs and A	llocations for to	tal DDT and total PCBs (g/yr)			
	Waterbody/source	DDT total	PCBs total			
	DomCh Estuary – TMDL	3.90	7.90			
	WL	As				
	MS4- LA County et al	0.250	0.207			
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.007	0.006			
	MS4 CalTrans	0.004	0.004			
	LA	S				
	Air deposition	6.01	n/a			
	Bed sediments	(2.4)	7.7			
	Current Load	54.0	57.5			
	Overall reduction	93%	86%			
	Consolidated Slip - TMDL	0.56	1.14			
	<u>Consolidated Sup - TMDE</u> WL		1.17			
		0.009	0.004			
	MS4- LA County et al	0.009	0.00006			
	MS4 CalTrans		0.00008			
	Air deposition Bed sediments	1.56 (1.00)	n/a 1.13			
	Current Load	49.0	83.9			
	Overall reduction	99%	99%			
	Inner Harbor - TMDL	3.56	7.22			
	WL	As				
	MS4- LA County et al	0.051	0.059			
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.014	0.016			
	MS4 CalTrans	0.0010	0.0011			
	LA	S				
	Air deposition	129	n/a			
	Bed sediments	(125)	7.14			
	Current Load	21.67	29.51			
	Overall reduction	84%	76%			
	Outer Harbor - TMDL	3.79	7.68			
	WL	As	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	MS4- LA County et al	0.005	0.020			
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.004	0.014			
	MS4 CalTrans	0.000010	0.00004			
	TIWRP = POTW					
	(CTR & MGD)	12.7	0.37			
	LA	S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

DL Element		Regulatory P	rovisions					
	Air deposition	173	n/a					
	Bed sediments	(182)	7.28					
	Current Load	30.8	34.7					
	Overall reduction	88%	78%					
	Fish Harbor - TMDL	0.048	0.098					
	WLAs							
	MS4- LA County et al	0.0003	0.0019					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.0000010	0.000006					
	L	As						
	Air deposition	3.9	n/a					
	Bed sediments	(3.85)	0.10					
	Current Load	0.168	0.075					
	Overall reduction	71%	0%					
	Cabrillo Marina -TMDL	0.061	0.124					
		LAs	- <u>-</u>					
	MS4- LA County et al	0.000028	0.000025					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.0000028	0.0000024					
	L	As						
	Air deposition	3.3	n/a					
	Bed sediments	(3.22)	0.12					
	Current Load	1.66	1.06					
	Overall reduction	96%	88%					
	<u>Inner Cabrillo Beach -</u> <u>TMDL</u>	0.04	0.09					
	W	LAs						
	MS4- LA County et al	0.0001	0.0003					
	L	As						
	Air deposition	3.5	n/a					
	Bed sediments	(3.5)	0.09					
	Current Load	0.98	0.31					
	Overall reduction	96%	72%					
	San Pedro Bay - TMDL	30.1	61.0					
		LAs						
	MS4- LA County et al	0.049	0.44					
	MS4 City of Long Beach	0.333	3.01					
	MS4 CalTrans	0.002	0.019					
	MS4 Orange County**	0.024	0.213					
		As						
	Air deposition	350	n/a					

TMDL Element		Regulatory Provisions				
	Bed sediments	(320)	57.3			
	Current Load	205.2	110.7			
	Overall reduction	85%	45%			
	LA River Estuary - TMDL	34.1	69.2			
	WLAs					
	<i>MS4- LA County et al</i> 0.100 0.324					
	MS4 City of Long Beach	1.067	3.441			
	MS4 CalTrans	0.014	0.047			
	LAR dischargers	[DDT SQV]	[PCBs SQV]			
	LA	ls				
	Air deposition	8.9	n/a			
	Bed sediments	24.09	65.3			
	Current Load	231.6	402.2			
	Overall reduction	85%	83%			

Note: (value) indicates bed sediment remediation to attain allocation

*SQV values are currently set at the more protective of ERLs or fish tissue associated sediment targets **Orange County MS4 is issued by the Santa Ana Regional Board. The allocations included, here, for the Seal Beach nearshore area, are for TMDL calculation purposes, only and an allocation is not assigned.

In addition, bed sediment concentration-based allocations are assigned for chlordane in Dominguez Channel Estuary, Consolidated Slip, Fish Harbor, Los Angeles River Estuary and Eastern San Pedro Bay. Bed sediment concentration-based allocations are also assigned for dieldrin in Dominguez Channel Estuary and Consolidated Slip. Bed sediment concentration allocations are also assigned for toxaphene in Consolidated Slip. The TMDLs and allocations are set at target sediment concentrations: chlordane = 0.5, dieldrin = 0.02, toxaphene = 0.10 $\mu g/kg$ dry sediment.

Compliance of these bioaccumulative TMDLs may be demonstrated via two different means:

- a. Fish tissue targets are met.
- b. Final sediment allocations, as presented above, are met.

4. <u>Diazinon</u>

Los Angeles County monitoring data in Dominguez Channel freshwaters show diazinon exceedences from 2002-2005, but none from 2006-2010. This timing is concurrent with EPA's ban on urban use of diazinon, effective Dec. 31, 2005. Based these results, no diazinon TMDLs are developed at this time.

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
Margin of Safety	The Dominguez Channel freshwater allocations included an explicit margin of safety (MOS) equal to 10% of the loading capacity or existing load to account for any additional uncertainty in the wet-weather TMDLs. The 10% MOS was subtracted from the loading capacity or existing load, whichever was smaller. Applying an explicit margin of safety is reasonable because a number of uncertain estimates are offset by the explicit margin of safety. While the observed dissolved-to-total metals ratios are not similar to CTR default conversion values, there appears to be very poor correlation between the fraction of particulate metals and TSS. Also, there is added uncertainty regarding stream flow rates during wet weather conditions, when the highest metal loads occur, thus an explicit margin of safety is justified.
	An implicit margin of safety exists in the final allocations to Dominguez Channel Estuary and Greater Harbor waters. The implicit margin of safety is based on the selection of multiple numeric targets, including targets for water, fish tissue and sediment. An additional explicit margin of safety must be considered and may be applied if any chemical-specific sediment quality target is revised or updated contingent on future sediment quality studies. That is, there may be uncertainty associated with revised sediment quality values, which may warrant including an additional explicit margin of safety.
Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions	 Wet weather events may produce extensive sediment redistribution and transport sediments to the harbors and the CTR-based water column targets are protective of this condition. This would be considered the critical condition for loading. No correlation with flow or seasonality (wet vs. dry season) was found to exist in sediment or tissue data. Given that allocations for this TMDL are expressed in terms pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals concentrations in sediment, a critical condition is not identified based upon flow or seasonality. Because the adverse effects of pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals are related to sediment
Monitoring Plan	 accumulation and bioaccumulation in the food chain over long periods of time, short term variations in concentrations are less likely to cause significant impacts upon beneficial uses. Monitoring by assigned responsible parties is required in three waterbody areas: 1. Dominguez Channel, Torrance Lateral, and Dominguez Channel Estuary 2. Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters (including Consolidated Slip) 3. Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River
	Monitoring shall be conducted under technically appropriate Monitoring and Reporting Plans (MRPs) and Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs). The MRPs shall include a requirement that the responsible parties report compliance and non-compliance with waste load and load allocations as part of annual reports submitted to the Regional Board. The QAPPs shall include protocols for sample collection, standard analytical procedures, and laboratory certification. All samples shall be collected in accordance with SWAMP protocols. Monitoring Plans shall be submitted 6 months after the effective date of the TMDL.
	Monitoring shall begin six months after the monitoring plan is approved by the Executive Officer. Responsible parties assigned both WLAs and LAs may submit one document that addresses the monitoring requirements (as described below) and implementation activities for both WLAs and LAs. Responsible parties shall submit annual monitoring reports.
	The Regional Board Executive Officer may reduce, increase, or modify monitoring and reporting requirements, as necessary, based on the results of the TMDL monitoring program.

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	Currently, several of the constituents of concern have numeric targets that are lower than the readily available detection limits. As analytical methods and detection limits continue to improve (i.e., development of lower detection limits) and become more environmentally relevant, responsible parties shall incorporate new method detection limits in the MRP and QAPP.
	1. Dominguez Channel, Torrance Lateral, and Dominguez Channel Estuary Compliance Monitoring Program
	For Dominguez Channel, Dominguez Channel Estuary, and Torrance Lateral, water and total suspended solids samples shall be collected at the outlet of the storm drains discharging to the channel and the estuary. Fish tissue samples shall be collected in receiving waters of the Dominguez Channel Estuary. Sediment samples shall also be collected in the estuary.
	• Water Column Monitoring Water samples and total suspended solids samples shall be collected during two wet weather events and one dry weather event each year. The first large storm event of the season shall be included as one of the wet weather monitoring events. Water samples and total suspended solid samples shall be analyzed for a suite of compounds including, at a minimum, metals, including lead, zinc, and copper, DDT, PCBs, Benzo[a] anthrancene, Benzo[a]pyrene, Chrysene, Phenanthrene, and Pyrene. Sampling shall be designed to collected sufficient volumes of suspended solids to allow for analysis of the pollutants in the bulk sediment.
	In addition to TMDL constituents, general water chemistry (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and electrical conductivity) and a flow measurement will be required at each sampling event. General chemistry measurements may be taken in the laboratory immediately following sample collection, if auto samplers are used for sample collection or if weather conditions are unsuitable for field measurements. In addition, toxicity shall be tested for in the freshwater portion of Dominguez Channel.
	• Sediment Monitoring A sediment monitoring program shall be developed consistent with the selected method for compliance and all samples shall be collected in accordance with SWAMP protocols.
	 a) If compliance will be determined based on achieving sediment quality targets, sediment chemistry samples shall be collected every two years for analysis of general sediment quality constituents and the full chemical suite as specified in SQO Part 1. In addition, benthic community effects shall be assessed in the Dominguez Channel Estuary. b) If compliance will be determined based on the SQO compliance method, sediment chemistry samples shall also be collected every five years (in addition to, and in between, the sediment triad sampling events as described below), beginning after the first sediment triad event, to evaluate trends in general sediment quality constituents and listed constituents relative to sediment quality targets. Chemistry data without accompanying sediment triad data shall be used to assess sediment chemistry trends and shall not be used to determine compliance.
	Sediment quality objective evaluation as detailed in the SQO Part 1 (sediment triad

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	sampling) shall be performed every five years in coordination with the Biological Baseline and Bight regional monitoring programs, if possible. Sampling and analysis for the full chemical suite, two toxicity tests and four benthic indices as specified in SQO Part 1 shall be conducted and evaluated. Locations for sediment triad assessment shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer. The sampling design shall be in compliance with the SQO Part 1 Sediment Monitoring section (VII.E.).
	• Fish Tissue Monitoring Fish tissue samples shall be collected every two years from the Dominguez Channel Estuary and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, toxaphene, DDT, and PCBs. The target species in the Dominguez Channel Estuary shall be selected based on local abundance and fish size at the time of field collection. Tissues analyzed shall be based on the most common preparation for the selected fish species.
	The Dominguez Channel responsible agencies are each individually responsible for conducting water, sediment, and fish tissue monitoring. However, they are encouraged to collaborate or coordinate their efforts to avoid duplication and reduce associated costs. Dischargers interested in coordinated monitoring shall submit a coordinated MRP that identifies monitoring to be implemented by the responsible parties. Under the coordinated monitoring option, the compliance point for the stormwater WLAs shall be storm drain outfalls or a point(s) in the receiving water that suitably represents the combined discharge of cooperating parties.
	The details of the monitoring program including sampling locations and all methods shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer.
	2. Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Compliance Monitoring Program
	At a minimum, compliance monitoring shall be conducted at the locations and for the constituents listed in the table below for water column, total suspended solids, and sediment. The exact locations of monitoring sites shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer. During aspects of the remedial action(s) for the Montrose Superfund Site that may mobilize sediments and associated pollutants from the on- or near-property soils or "Neighborhood Areas", it is recommended that US EPA, as the regulatory oversight agency, require that Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP) implement monitoring to evaluate pollutant loads and concentrations leaving the site and surrounding area, as well as pollutant concentrations in the bed sediments of Dominguez Channel Estuary and Consolidated Slip and coordinate such monitoring with other TMDL compliance monitoring.
	• Water Column Monitoring Water samples and total suspended solids samples shall be collected during two wet weather events and one dry weather event each year. TSS shall be collected at several depths during wet weather events. The first large storm event of the season shall be included as one of the wet weather monitoring events. General water chemistry (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and salinity) and a flow measurement shall be required at each sampling event.
	• Sediment Monitoring Sediment chemistry samples shall be collected every five years (in addition to, and in between, the sediment triad sampling events as described below), beginning after the first

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions						
	constituer sediment	sediment triad event, to evaluate trends in general sediment quality constituents and listed constituents relative to sediment quality targets. Chemistry data without accompanying sediment triad data shall be used to assess sediment chemistry trends and shall not be used to determine compliance.					
	Sediment	Sediment chemistry monitoring requirements					
	Water Body	Station	Station Location -		Sample Media		
	Name	Id		WATER/TSS	SEDIMENT		
	Consolidated Slip	01	Center of Consolidated Slip	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, DDT PCBs, PAHs		
	Los Angeles Inner Harbor	02	East Turning Basin	Metals, PCBs, DDT	_		
		03	Center of the POLA West Basin	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community - Effect		
		04	Main Turning Basin north of Vincent Thomas Bridge	Metals, PCBs, DDT			
		05	Between Pier 300 and Pier 400	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
		06	Main Channel south of Port O'Call	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
	Fish Harbor	07	Center of inner portion of Fish Harbor	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, PCBs, DDT, Chlordane, PAHs		
	Los Angeles Outer Harbor	08	Los Angeles Outer Harbor between Pier 400 and middle breakwater	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Toxicity		
		09	Los Angeles Outer Harbor between the southern end of the reservation point and the San Pedro breakwater	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Toxicity		
	Cabrillo Marina	10	Center of west Channel	Metals, PCBs, DDT			
	Inner Cabrillo Beach	11	Center of Inner Cabrillo Beach Cerritos Channel	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals		
	Long Beach Inner Harbor	12	between the Heim Bridge and the Turning Basin Back Channel	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
		13	between Turning Basin and West Basin	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
		14	Center of West Basin	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
		15	Center of Southeast Basin	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect		
	Long Beach Outer Harbor	16	Center of Long Beach Outer Harbor	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Toxicity		
		17	Between the	Metals, PCBs,	Toxicity		

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions				
	San Pedro Bay	18	southern end of Pier J and the Queens Gate Northwest of San Pedro Bay near Los Angeles River	DDT Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, PAHs, Toxicity
		19	Estuary East of San Pedro Bay	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, PAHs, Toxicity
		20	South of San Pedro Bay inside breakwater	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, PAHs, Toxicity
	Los Angeles River Estuary	21	Los Angeles River Estuary Queensway Bay	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, DDT, PCBs
		22	Los Angeles River Estuary	Metals, PCBs, DDT	Metals, Chlordane, DDT, PCBs
	 Sediment quality objective evaluation as detailed in the SQO Part 1 (sediment triad sampling) shall be performed every five years in coordination with the Biological Baseline and Bight regional monitoring programs, if possible. Sampling and analysis for the full chemical suite, two toxicity tests and four benthic indices as specified in SQO Part 1 shall be conducted and evaluated. Locations for sediment triad assessment shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer. The sampling design shall be in compliance with the SQO Part 1 Sediment Monitoring section (VII.E.). Fish Tissue Monitoring Fish tissue samples shall be collected every two years in San Pedro Bay, Los Angeles Harbor, and Long Beach Harbor, and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, toxaphene, DDT, 				
	fish, and a prey fish. The Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors ³ responsible agencies are each individually responsible for conducting water, sediment, and fish tissue monitoring. However, they are encouraged to collaborate or coordinate their efforts to avoid duplication and reduce associated costs. Dischargers interested in coordinated compliance monitoring shall submit a coordinated MRP that identifies monitoring to be conducted by the responsible parties. Under the coordinated compliance monitoring option, the compliance point for the stormwater WLAs shall be storm drain outfalls or a point(s) in the receiving water that suitably represents the combined discharge of cooperating parties.				
			ip sub-group respons ssue monitoring in C		e responsible for conducting water,
			onitoring program i to be approved by t		ng locations and all methods shall be ficer.
	3. Los Angeles	Rive	and San Gabriel Ri	ver Compliance	Monitoring Program

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	Los Angeles River Watershed and San Gabriel River Watershed responsible agencies identified in effective metals TMDLs for Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River are responsible for conducting water and sediment monitoring above the Los Angeles River Estuary and at the mouth of the San Gabriel River, respectively, to determine the Rivers' contribution to the impairments in the Greater Harbor waters.
	 Water Column Monitoring Water samples and total suspended solids samples shall be collected at, at least one site during two wet weather events and one dry weather event each year. The first large storm event of the season shall be included as one of the wet weather monitoring events. Water samples and total suspended solid samples shall be analyzed for metals, DDT, PCBs, and PAHs. Sampling shall be designed to collect sufficient volumes of suspended solids to allow for analysis of the listed pollutants in the bulk sediment. General water chemistry (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and electrical conductivity) and a flow measurement shall be required at each sampling event. General chemistry measurements may be taken in the laboratory immediately following sample collection if auto samplers are used for sample collection or if weather conditions are unsuitable for field measurements.
	 Sediment Monitoring For sediment chemistry, sediment samples shall be collected at, at least one site every two years for analysis of general sediment quality constituents and the full chemical suite as specified in SQO Part 1. All samples shall be collected in accordance with SWAMP protocols. The details of the monitoring program including sampling locations and all methods shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer.

Implementation	The regulatory mechanisms to implement the TMDL include, but are not limited to, general				
Plan	NPDES permits, individual NPDES permits, MS4 Permits covering jurisdictions and flood				
	control districts within these waters, the Statewide Industrial Storm Water General Permit, the Statewide Construction Activity Storm Water General Permit, the Statewide Stormwater Permit				
	for Caltrans Activities, and the authority contained in Sections 13263, 13267 and 13383 of th				
	Cal. Water Code. For each discharger assigned a WLA, the appropriate Regional Board Order				
	shall be reopened or amended when the order is reissued, in accordance with applicable laws, to				
	incorporate the applicable WLA as a permit requirement consistent with federal regulation and related guidance (40 CFR 144.22(d)(1)(vii)(B); US EPA Memorandum "Revisions to the				
	November 22, 2002 Memorandum 'Establishing Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)				
	Wasteload Allocations (WLAs) for Storm Water Sources and NPDES Permit Requirements				
	Based on Those WLAs'" (November 12, 2010)). LAs will be implemented in a manner consistent with federal and state laws, regulations and policies, including the Nonpoint Source				
	Implementation and Enforcement Policy.				
	Implementation by assigned responsible parties is required in three waterbody areas:				
	 Dominguez Channel, Torrance Lateral, and Dominguez Channel Estuary Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters (including Consolidated Slip) 				
	3. Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River				
	Actions to achieve WLA and LA may be implemented in phases with information from each				
	phase being used to inform the implementation of the next phase. The implementation may be				
	adjusted, as necessary, based on information gained during each phase. Table 7-40.2 contains				
	the schedule for responsible parties to develop and implement TMDL implementation plans and				
	sediment management plans to comply with the TMDL.				
	1. Dominguez Channel, Torrance Lateral, and Dominguez Channel Estuary				
	Responsible parties can implement a variety of implementation strategies to meet the required				
	WLAs and LAs, such as non-structural and structural BMPs, diversion and treatment to reduce sediment transport from the watershed to Dominguez Channel and Greater Harbor waters, and				
	sediment transport from the watershed to Dominguez channel and Greater Harbor waters, and sediment removal activities.				
	Nonneint course elements include la second dimente and air demosition course Demineurs				
	Nonpoint source elements include legacy sediments and air deposition across Dominguez Channel and Harbor waters. The responsible parties identified in the Allocation section and in				
	part 6. Application of Allocations to Responsible Parties of this section are assigned sediment				
	load allocations and responsibility for clean up of the contaminated sediments to attain the load				
	allocations.				
	• Phase 1				
	The purpose of the Phase 1 implementation is to reduce the amount of sediment transport				
	from point sources that directly or indirectly discharge to Dominguez Channel and the				
	Harbor waters. Phase 1 should include watershed-wide implementation actions. Important components of Phase 1 should be to secure the relationships and agreements between				
	cooperating parties and to develop a detailed scope of work with priorities.				
	Potential watershed-wide non-structural BMPs include more frequent and appropriately				
	timed storm drain catch basin cleaning, improved street cleaning by upgrading to vacuum				
	type sweepers, and educating residents and industries about good housekeeping practices.				
	Structural BMPs may include the placement of stormwater treatment devices designed to				

reduce sediment loading, such as infiltration trenches, vegetated swales, and/or filter strips at critical points in the watershed. Structural BMPs may also include diversion and treatment facilities to divert runoff directly, or provide capture and storage of runoff and then diversion to a location for treatment. Treatment options to reduce sediment could include sand or media filters.

The Los Angeles County Flood Control District (District) owns and operates Dominguez Channel; therefore, the District and the cities that discharge to Dominguez Channel shall each be responsible for conducting implementation actions to address contaminated sediments in Dominguez Channel. Responsible parties in Dominguez Channel shall develop a Sediment Management Plan to address contaminated sediment in Dominguez Channel and Dominguez Channel Estuary.

Sediment conditions shall be evaluated through the Sediment Quality Objective (SQO) process detailed in the SQO Part 1. If chemicals within sediments are contributing to an impaired benthic community or toxicity, then causative agent(s) shall be determined using SQO recommended procedures, SQO Part 1 (VII.F.). Impacted sediments shall be included in the list of sites to be managed.

Phase II

Phase II should include the implementation of additional BMPs and site remedial actions, as determined to be effective based on the success of upstream source control, evaluation of TMDL monitoring data collected during Phase 1, and targeted source reduction activities as identified in Phase 1. Regional responsible parties should develop, prioritize, and implement Phase II elements based on data from the TMDL monitoring program and other available information from special studies. Possible actions include implementation of additional structural and non-structural BMPs throughout the watershed by municipalities, LA County, Caltrans, and others. Phase II should include the implementation of site-specific cleanup actions for areas identified as high priority in the Dominguez Channel Estuary and in accordance with the Sediment Management Plan.

- As management actions are planned for a contaminated site, site-specific cleanup criteria should be determined following protocols that are consistent with state and national guidance. The site improvements should be confirmed through a sediment monitoring program.
- There are two Superfund sites located within Dominguez Channel Watershed: the Montrose Superfund Site and the Del Amo Superfund Site. The US EPA has not yet reached a final remedial decision with respect to certain of the Montrose Superfund Site Operable Units (OUs) that remain contaminated with DDT, including the on- and near-property soils (OU1), the current storm water pathway (OU2), and the "Neighborhood Areas" (OU4 and OU6). The TMDL, its waste load and load allocations, and other regulatory provisions of this TMDL may be applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) as set forth in Section 121(d) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 9621(d)) for those OUs. The TMDL for DDT should be taken into account in the course of the remedial decision-making process. The Regional Board requires the City of Los Angeles and/or Los Angeles County, should they decide to take action that impacts one of the OUs, to consult with US EPA's Superfund Division in advance of such action.

Phase III

Phase III should include implementation of secondary and addition remediation actions as necessary to be in compliance with final allocations by the end of the implementation period.

2. Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters (including Consolidated Slip)

Responsible parties can implement a variety of implementation strategies to meet the required WLAs, such as non-structural and structural BMPs, and/or diversion and treatment to reduce sediment transport from the nearshore watershed to the Greater Harbor waters.

• Phase 1

The purpose of Phase 1 implementation is to reduce the amount of sediment transport from point sources that directly or indirectly discharge to the Harbor waters. Phase 1 should include actions to be implemented throughout the nearshore watershed and specific implementation actions at the Ports. Important components of Phase I should be to secure the relationships and agreements between cooperating parties and to develop a detailed scope of work with priorities.

Potential watershed-wide non-structural BMPs include more frequent and appropriately timed storm drain catch basin cleaning, improved street cleaning by upgrading to vacuum type sweepers, and educating residents and industries about good housekeeping practices. Structural BMPs may include the placement of stormwater treatment devices designed to reduce sediment loading, such as infiltration trenches, vegetated swales, and/or filter strips at critical points in the watershed. Structural BMPs may also include diversion and treatment facilities to divert runoff directly, or provide capture and storage of runoff and then diversion to a location for treatment. Treatment options to reduce sediment could include sand or media filters.

Implementation actions at the Ports should be developed to address different sources that contribute loading to the Harbors such as Port-wide activities and associated control measures for water and sediment, control measures to reduce the discharges from various land uses in the Harbors, nearshore discharges, and on-water discharges. The implementation actions described in the Water Resources Action Plan (WRAP) adopted by the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach represent a range of activities that could be conducted to control discharges of polluted stormwater and contaminated sediments to the Harbors.

To meet necessary reductions in sediment bed loads, a Sediment Management Plan shall be developed by the dischargers assigned a sediment bed load LA, the Cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach and the State Lands Commission. Phase 1 implementation elements for the improvement of the Harbors' sediment quality should be conducted through the continuation of source reduction, source control, and sediment management. Below are proposed implementations actions that may be implemented in Phase I to improve sediment quality at the ports:

- Removal of Contaminated Sediment within Areas of Known Concern. Planned removal programs are in place for IR Site 7 (former Navy facility in the Port of Long Τ

E

N

T A

V

E

Beach) and Berth 240 (former Southwest Marine facility in the Port of Los Angeles). Contaminated sediment will be removed by Port of Long Beach and Port of Los Angeles.

- Sediment Management Plan, Prioritization Assessment for Contaminated Sediment Management. Sediment will be evaluated through the Sediment Quality Objective (SQO) process detailed in the SQO Part 1. If chemicals within sediments are contributing to an impaired benthic community or toxicity, then causative agent(s) will be determined using SQO recommended procedures, SQO Part I (VII. F.). Impacted sediments will be included in the list of sites to be managed. The sites to be managed by the Ports will be prioritized for management and coupled with Port projects when feasible. This process will prioritize management efforts on sites that have the greatest impact to the overall health of the benthic community and allow sites with lower risks to be addressed in later phases when opportunities can be coupled to capital projects. As management actions are planned for a contaminated site, site-specific cleanup criteria will be determined following port-established protocols that are consistent with state and national guidance. The site will then be managed and the improvements confirmed through a sediment monitoring program.
- *Superfund Sites*. Two Superfund sites are located in Dominguez Channel Watershed: the Montrose Superfund Site (DDT) and the Del Amo Superfund Site (benzene). Montrose Superfund Site includes multiple operable units (OUs), which are identified as investigation areas potentially containing site-related contamination. These Superfund Sites are located in a community known as Harbor Gateway, which is situated mostly in the City of Los Angeles and partially in unincorporated land in Los Angeles County. Harbor Gateway lies within the Kenwood Drain subwatershed, which discharges stormwater into Torrance Lateral which flows downstream into saline waters of Dominguez Channel Estuary and Consolidated Slip. The Torrance Lateral, Dominguez Channel Estuary and Consolidated Slip (OU2) contain sediments contaminated with multiple pollutants including DDT (potentially from various sources). The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) has been working with other government agencies and local agencies including the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County to ensure the protection of both the environment and public health in the areas surrounding these Superfund sites.

The US EPA has not yet reached a final remedial decision with respect to certain of the Montrose Superfund Site Operable Units (OUs) that remain contaminated with DDT, including the on- and near-property soils (OU1), the current storm water pathway (OU2), and the "Neighborhood Areas" (OU4 and OU6). The TMDL, its waste load and load allocations, and other regulatory provisions of this TMDL may be applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) as set forth in Section 121(d) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 9621(d)) for those OUs. The TMDL for DDT should be taken into account in the course of the remedial decision-making process. The Regional Board requires the City of Los Angeles and/or Los Angeles County, should they decide to take action that impacts one of the OUs, to consult with US EPA's Superfund Division in advance of such action.

Phase II

Phase II should include the implementation of additional BMPs and site remedial actions in

the nearshore watershed and in the Harbors, as determined to be effective based on the success of upstream source control, TMDL monitoring data evaluations, WRAP activities implemented during Phase 1, and targeted source reduction activities as identified in Phase 1. Responsible parties should develop, prioritize, and implement Phase II elements based on data from the TMDL monitoring program and other available information from special studies. Possible actions include additional structural and non-structural BMPs throughout the watershed.

Phase II should include the implementation of site-specific cleanup actions for areas identified as high priority in the Harbor waters and per the Sediment Management Plan.

Phase III

The purpose of Phase III is to implement secondary and additional remediation actions as necessary to be in compliance with final waste load and load allocations by the end of the TMDL implementation period.

3. Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River

Responsible parties in these watersheds are implementing other TMDLs, which will directly or indirectly support the goals of this TMDL.

Phase I

Responsible parties for each watershed shall submit a Report of Implementation to describe how current activities support the downstream TMDL.

• Phases II and III

Implementation actions may be developed and required in Phases II and III as necessary to meet the targets in the Greater Harbor waters. TMDLs to allocate contaminant loads between dischargers in the Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers watersheds may also be developed, if necessary.

4. Special Studies and Reconsideration of TMDL Targets, Allocations, and Schedule

This TMDL recognizes that as work to understand these waters and the chemical, physical and biological processes, continues, the targets, allocations and the implementation actions to reach those targets and allocations may need to be adjusted. In addition, it may be necessary to make adjustments to the TMDL to be responsive to new State policies including, but not limited to, SQO Part II; toxicity policy; possible changes to air quality criteria and other regulations affecting air quality.

Optional special studies, which could result in changes to these TMDLs, include but are not limited to: foraging ranges of targeted fish; additional data on contaminant contributions of the Los Angeles River or San Gabriel River to Greater Harbor waters; stressor identifications; and additional diazinon data.

As allocation-specific data are collected, interim targets for the end of Phase II may be identified.

If appropriate, the TMDL will be reconsidered by the Regional Board at the end of Phase I to consider completed special studies or policy changes.

5. Compliance with Allocations and Attainment of Numeric Targets

Compliance with the TMDL shall be determined through water, sediment, and fish tissue monitoring and comparison with the TMDL waste load and load allocations and numeric targets. Compliance with the sediment TMDL for metals and PAH compounds shall be based on achieving the loads and waste load allocations or, alternatively, demonstrating attainment of the SQO Part 1 through the triad/multiple lines of evidence approach outlined therein. Compliance with the TMDLs for bioaccumulative compounds shall be based on achieving the assigned loads and waste load allocations or, alternatively, by meeting fish tissue targets.

The compliance point for the stormwater WLAs shall be at the storm drain outfall of the permittee's drainage area. Alternatively, if stormwater dischargers select a coordinated compliance monitoring option, the compliance point for the stormwater WLA may be at storm drain outfalls or at a point in the receiving water, which suitably represents the combined discharge of cooperating parties discharging to Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters. Depending on potential BMPs implemented, alternative stormwater compliance points may be proposed by responsible parties subject to approval by the Regional Board Executive Officer. The compliance point(s) for responsible parties receiving load allocations shall be in the receiving waters or the bed sediments of the Dominguez Channel and the Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach waters.

6. Application of Allocations to Responsible Parties

Responsible parties for monitoring and to attain LAs and WLAs for this TMDL include but are not limited to:

- 1. Dominguez Channel Responsible Parties
 - Dominguez Channel, Torrance Lateral, and Dominguez Channel Estuary MS4 Permittees
 - Los Angeles County
 - Los Angeles County Flood Control District
 - > Caltrans
 - > City of Carson
 - City of Compton
 - City of El Segundo
 - City of Gardena
 - City of Hawthorne
 - City of Inglewood
 - City of Lawndale
 - City of Lomita
 - City of Long Beach
 - City of Los Angeles
 - > City of Manhattan Beach
 - City of Palos Verdes
 - City of Redondo Beach
 - City of Rolling Hills
 - > City of Rolling Hills Estates

 City of Torrance
Individual and General Stormwater Permit Enrollees
Other Non-stormwater Permittees
2. Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors Responsible Agencies
Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters MS4 Permittees
Los Angeles County
Los Angeles County Flood Control District
➤ Caltrans
➢ Bellflower
City of Lakewood
City of Long Beach
City of Los Angeles
City of Paramount
 City of Signal Hill
City of Rolling Hills
 City of Rolling Hills Estates
Rancho Palos Verdes
• City of Los Angeles (including the Port of Los Angeles)
• City of Long Beach (including the Port of Long Beach)
State Lands Commission
Individual and General Stormwater Permit Enrollees
Other Non-stormwater Permittees, including City of Los Angeles (TITP)
 Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup⁴
 Consolidated Slip MS4 Permittees
 Los Angeles County
 Los Angeles County Flood Control District
 City of Los Angeles
City of Carson
City of Gardena
City of Torrance
3. Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River Watershed TMDLs Responsible Agencies
 Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River metals TMDLs responsible parties

US EPA is the regulatory oversight agency pursuant to CERCLA with respect to the two Superfund sites within the Consolidated Slip subarea, but is not identified as a Responsible Party under the TMDL. As the regulatory oversight agency, US EPA is responsible for choosing an appropriate remedy for these sites. Furthermore, under CERCLA, US EPA is responsible for assuring that the CERCLA PRPs clean up the site in compliance with CERCLA and applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) (CERCLA section 121(d)).

Table 7-40.2 Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor			
Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL: Implementation Schedule			

Task Number	Task	Responsible Party	Deadline
1	Interim allocations are achieved.	All Responsible Parties	Effective date of the TMDL
2	Submit a Monitoring Plan to the Los Angeles Regional Board for Executive Officer approval.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup; Los Angeles and San Gabriel River Responsible Parties	6 months after effective date of the TMDL
3	Implement Monitoring Plan	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup; Los Angeles and San Gabriel River Responsible Parties	6 months after monitoring plan approved by Executive Officer.
4	Submit annual monitoring reports to the Los Angeles Regional Board.	All Responsible parties	15 months after monitoring starts and annually thereafter
5	Submit an Implementation Plan and Contaminated Sediment Management Plan.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup	2 years after effective date of the TMDL
6	Submit Report of Implementation to the Los Angeles Regional Board.	Los Angeles and San Gabriel River Responsible Parties	2 years after effective date of the TMDL
7	Submit annual implementation reports to the Los Angeles Regional Board. Report on implementation progress and demonstrate progress toward meeting the assigned LAs and WLAs.	All Responsible parties	2.5 years after effective date of the TMDL and annually thereafter
8	Complete Phase I of TMDL Implementation Plan and Sediment Management Plan.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup	5 years after effective date of the TMDL
9	Submit updated Implementation Plan and Contaminated Sediment Management Plan.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup	5 years after effective date of the TMDL
10	Regional Board will reconsider targets, WLAs,	Regional Board	6 years after the

Attachment A to Resolution No. R11-XXX

Task Number	Task	Responsible Party	Deadline
	and LAs based on new policies, data or special studies as necessary. Regional Board will consider requirements for additional implementation or TMDLs for Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers and interim targets and allocations for the end of Phase II.		effective date of the TMDL
11	Complete Phase II of TMDL Implementation Plan and Sediment Management Plan.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup	15 years after effective date of the TMDL
12	Complete Phase III of TMDL Implementation Plan and Sediment Management Plan.	Dominguez Channel Responsible parties; Greater Harbors Responsible Parties; Consolidated Slip Responsible Parties subgroup	20 years after effective date of the TMDL
13	Final LAs and WLAs are achieved.	All Responsible parties	20 years after effective date of the TMDL